



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,892	07/15/2003	Jessica Elizabeth LeMay	460.2221USQ	7326

7590 11/09/2009
CHARLES N.J. RUGGIERO, ESQ.
OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P.
10th FLOOR
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE
STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, CATHARINE L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3761

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/619,892

Applicant(s)

LEMAY ET AL.

Examiner

Lynne Anderson

Art Unit

3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 11-13, 15-20, 25, 31, 34, 37, 44 and 46-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11-13, 15-20, 25, 31, 34, 37, 44 and 46-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 11-20, 25, 31, 34, 37, 44, and 46-51 under Paul et al. (5,158,535) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Voss (4,361,150) and Suzuki et al. (4,921,474).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 11-13, 15-20, 25, 31, 34, 37, 44, and 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Voss (4,361,150) in view of Suzuki et al. (4,921,474).

4. With respect to claims 11-13, 15-16, 25, 34, 44, and 46-50, Voss discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the taper ratio and the finger grip having a gripping area with a decreased diameter. Voss discloses a tampon applicator assembly comprising a barrel 12, a plunger 13, and a pledget H, as shown in figure 1. The barrel 12 has an insertion area with an insertion tip 20, a finger grip area 18, and a tapered main section 15 therebetween. The tapered main section 15 has a maximum outer dimension located closer to the finger grip area 18 than the insertion tip 20, as

shown in figure 1, and described in column 4, lines 15-19. The finger grip area 18 may be defined to include up to 25% of the distal end of the barrel 12, and therefore the maximum outer dimension of the tapered main section 15 will be located from the insertion tip 20 about 75% of the overall length of the barrel 12. The insertion tip 20 comprises a plurality of petals 19, as shown in figure 1. The tapered main section 15 is tapered linearly from the maximum outer dimension to the insertion tip area 17, as shown in figure 1, and described in column 4, lines 15-19.

5. Voss discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the taper ratio being about 1.08 to about 1.13. Voss discloses the main section being tapered, as described in column 4, lines 15-19, but remains silent as to the degree of the taper. The taper of the barrel of Voss is shown in figure 1 as being very gradual. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the applicator barrel of Voss with a taper ratio of about 1.08 to about 1.13 to provide a gradual taper to the barrel.

6. Suzuki teaches a tampon applicator having a finger grip having a shoulder region 24 adjacent the main section of the applicator and a flared region 25 adjacent the gripping region, as shown in figure 1. The shoulder region 24 decreases in diameter to form a gripping region having a smaller diameter than the main section of the applicator, as shown in figure 1. The flared region 25 has an increased diameter from the gripping region, as shown in figure 1. The finger grip configuration of Suzuki provides the applicator with an area that is easily located and gripped during use of the applicator. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to

provide the tampon applicator of Voss with the finger grip taught by Suzuki to provide an area that is easily located and gripped during use of the applicator.

7. With respect to claims 17-18, Voss discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the insertion tip having a taper ratio of between about 0.7 and 0.9. Voss discloses the insertion tip is tapered at an angle of about 90° , as described in column 4, lines 33-45, but remains silent as to the ratio of the taper. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the insertion tip area of Voss with a taper ratio between about 0.7 and 0.9, in order to provide a taper having an angle of about 90° .

8. With respect to claims 19-20, 31, and 37, Voss discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the petal length-to-width ratio being over about 2. Voss discloses the petals having a length greater than their width, as shown in figure 1, but remains silent as to the exact dimensions of the petals. Voss further discloses in column 4, lines 33-45, the length-to-width ratio of the petals must be sufficiently long to provide the insertion end area with a taper having an angle of about 90° . It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the petals of Voss with a length-to-width ratio of greater than about 2, in order that the petals having a length sufficient to provide the insertion end area with a taper having an angle of about 90° .

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571)272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. A./
Examiner, Art Unit 3761
/Tatyana Zalukaeva/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761